


Soundness and Completeness of Symmetric Relators

Sergey Goncharov 

University of Birmingham, UK

Pouya Partow 

University of Birmingham, UK

Abstract

Keywords and phrases Operational semantics, Higher-order GSOS, Extended combinatory logic

There exist various notions of (bi)simulation in the literature. It is usually expected from a symmetric simulation to be a bisimulation. It is true for the most traditionally known notion, but such conclusion must be made with care. We describe a scenario, in which we have a proof that a symmetric relation is a simulation, but the proof does not serve as a proof for the relation to be a bisimulation. We take the Aczel-Mendler simulation[1]. Given a partial order over a functor $F: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, a relation r on X is a simulation on a coalgebra (X, α) iff there exists a coalgebra (R, σ) called *witness* that laxly commutes in the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xleftarrow{p_1} & r & \xrightarrow{p_2} & X \\ \alpha \downarrow & \lhd & \downarrow \sigma & \lhd & \downarrow \alpha \\ FX & \xleftarrow{Fp_1} & Fr & \xrightarrow{Fp_2} & FX \end{array} \quad (1)$$

If (1) commutes fully, then σ is a witness for r to be an *Aczel-Mendler bisimulation*.

Assuming that $F = \mathcal{P}$ is the powerset functor on **Set**, we take $r = \{(1, 2), (2, 1), (1, 3), (3, 1)\}$, and $X = \{1, 2, 3\}$, and $\alpha(x) = X$ for every $x \in X$, and σ is defined as below:

$$\sigma(w) = \begin{cases} r & w \neq (1, 3) \\ r \setminus \{(1, 2)\} & w = (1, 3) \end{cases}$$

In this scenario, σ is a witness for r to be a simulation, but it is not a witness for r to be a bisimulation, since for every $w \in r$ we have $\alpha(p_1(w)) \subseteq \mathcal{P}p_1(\sigma(w)) = X$. Also, for every $w \in r$, $\mathcal{P}p_2(\sigma(w)) \subseteq \alpha(p_2(w)) = X$. But it is not a bisimulation, since $\alpha(p_2(1, 3)) = \alpha(3) = X \neq \mathcal{P}p_2(\sigma(1, 3)) = X \setminus \{2\}$.

Inspired by Hermida-Jacobs bisimulation[2] we modify the definition by setting \mathbf{C} to be a regular category, and changing the span (FR, Fp_1, Fp_2) in (1) with the span $((Fr)^\dagger, (Fp_1)^\dagger, (Fp_2)^\dagger)$, where $(Fr)^\dagger$ is the image of $\langle Fp_1, Fp_2 \rangle$, and $\langle (Fp_1)^\dagger, (Fp_2)^\dagger \rangle: (FR)^\dagger \rightarrow FX \times FX$ is monic, so $(Fr)^\dagger$ is a relation. By the symmetry of r there exists $s: r \rightarrow r$ that we call *swap*, where $p_1 \cdot s = p_2$ and $p_2 \cdot s = p_1$. The necessary and sufficient condition for σ to be a witness for r to be a bisimulation is that

$$\sigma \cdot s = (Fs)^\dagger \cdot \sigma \quad (2)$$

, i.e., s is a F -coalgebra morphism from σ to itself. Nevertheless, still we have the following example of the $\sigma: r \rightarrow (Fr)^\dagger$ that is a witness for r to be a simulation over (X, α) , but it is not a witness for r to be a bisimulation. Keeping the setting of the previous example, we modify σ as follows:

$$\sigma(w) = \begin{cases} (X, X) & w \neq (1, 3) \\ (X, X \setminus \{2\}) & w = (1, 3) \end{cases}$$

σ is a witness for r to be a simulation since for every $w \in r$ we have $\alpha(p_1(w)) \subseteq ((\mathcal{P}p_1)^\dagger(\sigma(w))) = X$. Also, for every $w \in r$, $((\mathcal{P}p_2)^\dagger(\sigma(w))) \subseteq \alpha(p_2(w)) = X$. But it is not a bisimulation, since $\alpha(p_2(1, 3)) = \alpha(3) = X \neq (\mathcal{P}p_2)^\dagger(\sigma(1, 3)) = X \setminus \{2\}$. It worth mentioning that σ does not satisfy (2). But still we do not know if having such σ can give us a witness that satisfies (2) or guarantees the existence of such witness.

There is a solution for this problem, if we change the context. If we take our category to be **Set**, we can talk about a kind of well-studied maps named *relator*. For a set functor F , a relator \mathbf{R} takes a relation $r \subseteq X \times Y$ to another relation $\mathbf{R}r \subseteq FX \times FY$. A relator is monotone with respect to inclusion. For example, in our alternative for Aczel-Mendler simulation, for every set functor F , the map that takes a relation r to $(Fr)^\dagger$ is a relator. We show the reverse of a relation r with r^{op} , and composition of r with another relation t with $t \cdot r$. A relation $r \subseteq X \times Y$ is called \mathbf{R} -simulation from a coalgebra (X, α) to a coalgebra (Y, β) iff $r \subseteq \beta \cdot \mathbf{R}r \cdot \alpha^{\text{op}}$. Additionally, a relator is called symmetric iff $\mathbf{R}(r^{\text{op}}) = (\mathbf{R}r)^{\text{op}}$, and a \mathbf{R} -simulation for a symmetric \mathbf{R} is called a \mathbf{R} -bisimulation that resembles (2). \mathbf{R} -similarity and \mathbf{R} -bisimilarity are the greatest \mathbf{R} -simulation and \mathbf{R} -bisimulation, respectively. We call a relator \mathbf{R} sound iff \mathbf{R} -similarity from a coalgebra (X, α) to a coalgebra (Y, β) is included in the behavioural equivalence from (X, α) to (Y, β) , and we call it complete iff the behavioural equivalence is included in the \mathbf{R} -similarity.

References

- 1 Jérémy Dubut. Aczel-mendler bisimulations in a regular category. *Logical Methods in Computer Science*, 21, 2025.
- 2 Claudio Hermida and Bart Jacobs. Structural Induction and Coinduction in a Fibrational Setting. *Information and Computation*, 145(2):107–152, 1998. doi:[10.1006/inco.1998.2725](https://doi.org/10.1006/inco.1998.2725).